IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES	*
V.	* CRIM. NO. 18-449
KEITH HERD	* * *

	EO CONFERENCING/TELECONFERENCING EAS AND/OR SENTENCINGS
In accordance with Standing Order	2020-06, this Court finds:
That the Defendant (or the Juvenil	le) has consented to the use of video
teleconferencing/teleconferencing to condu	uct the proceeding(s) held today, after consultation
with counsel; and	
That the proceeding(s) to be held t	today cannot be further delayed without serious harn
to the interests of justice, for the following	g specific reasons:
See Attachment A	
Accordingly, the proceeding(s) held on this	is date may be conducted by:
Video Teleconferencing	
Teleconferencing, because video	teleconferencing is not reasonably available for the
following reason:	
The Defendant (or the Ju	avenile) is detained at a facility lacking video
teleconferencing capability.	
Other:	
Date: May 12, 2021	Mignan
IVIAV IZ ZUZI	

Date: May 12, 2021

Honorable Susan D. Wigenton United States District Judge

ATTACHMENT A

The Court finds that the sentencing hearing to be held on May 12, 2021, cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice, for the following reasons:

- 1. To ensure that the Court is not overwhelmed by cases and proceedings at the conclusion of this period of emergency. Currently, District Judges in New Jersey handle a substantially larger docket of cases than Judges in other Districts in the United States. New criminal cases continue to be assigned by the Court during the emergency. If the Court cannot resolve matters and conduct sentencing hearings, the resulting backlog will overwhelm the Court's ability to function effectively. The concern of such congestion and the particular harm that likely will be caused by delays in the processing of cases and matters in the future is particularly acute in this emergency, at least given that: (1) it is unknown when this emergency will subside and when the Court will be able to function at normal capacity (including, among other things, the empanelment of trial juries); and (2) this District's preexisting shortage of District Court Judges which already has challenged the Court's ability to process and resolve cases. This District has six District Judge vacancies: two have been pending for more than five years; one has been pending for more than three years; and three have been pending for more than a year. The Federal Judicial Conference has deemed the District's six vacancies judicial emergencies.
- 2. To permit defendant KEITH HERD to obtain a speedy resolution of his case through a sentencing hearing. Defendant KEITH HERD has asked for this case to proceed to sentencing today.
- 3. To permit the Government to obtain a resolution of the case so that the Government, already operating in a restricted capacity due to the emergency, may appropriately focus its resources on other, emerging criminal matters. The Government has asked for this case to proceed to sentencing today.
- 4. To prevent overcrowding at the facilities housing pre-trial detainees. The detention facilities have a limited capacity.

 Detainees are transferred from the detention facilities to prison facilities only after they have been sentenced. There is a constant

influx of new detainees admitted to the detention facilities. If detainees cannot be transferred to prison facilities, the resulting backlog of detainees will overwhelm the detention facilities.